

## Koch, Kristine

---

**From:** Koch, Kristine  
**Sent:** Friday, June 28, 2013 9:48 AM  
**To:** Bill Locke  
**Cc:** James McKenna; Gene Revelas; Jarrod Gasper; Jennifer Woronets; Sandy Browning  
**Subject:** RE: Background Dataset

Bill – I agree with your first bullet. I disagree with your second bullet. Just because the risk was evaluated as TEQ does not mean that total PCDD/Fs are not a part of the risk assessment. The conversion to a TEQ is a means to evaluate the exposure to the receptor, but it in no way implies that the total PCDD/Fs in the sediment are not expressing risk to the receptors. As for the third bullet, I guess you misunderstood what I was saying. I meant that if you would have to pull the congener data to apply the TEF to sum for TEQ, then you should already have the congener data pulled that it should be an easy exercise to merely sum the congeners to get a total. We still need the Total PCDD/F background data and I would like to know when we can get that from the LWG.

Kristine Koch  
Remedial Project Manager  
USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region 10  
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, M/S ECL-115  
Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

(206)553-6705  
(206)553-0124 (fax)  
1-800-424-4372 extension 6705 (M-F, 8-4 Pacific Time, only)

---

**From:** Bill Locke [mailto:[wlocke@integral-corp.com](mailto:wlocke@integral-corp.com)]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 27, 2013 4:00 PM  
**To:** Koch, Kristine  
**Cc:** James McKenna; Gene Revelas; Jarrod Gasper; Jennifer Woronets; Sandy Browning  
**Subject:** FW: Background Dataset

Kristine,

Gene is out of pocket this afternoon and passed your questions along to me and Jarrod to address. I'd like to offer a few general clarifications regarding Total PCDD/Fs based on your email:

- You are correct that Total PCDD/Fs appear prominently in the RI Report as one of the indicator contaminants. Total PCDD/Fs are computed for purposes of the RI as the sum of the tetra- through octa-chlorinated dioxin and furan homolog totals and are not weighted in any way by toxic equivalency factors (TEFs). As such, they are a bulk measure of the total concentration of dioxin and furan congeners in these five homolog groups (i.e., not just the seventeen 2,3,7,8-substituted congeners for which TEFs are established).
- It is not the case that Total PCDD/Fs, computed as described above, play any meaningful part in the final BHHRA and BERA. In both cases, risks associated with dioxins and furans were assessed on the basis of dioxin/furan TEQ. In the BERA, the 2,3,7,8-TCDD congener was also identified individually as a COPC for benthic invertebrates.
- Total PCDD/Fs are not used to calculate dioxin TEQs. Dioxin TEQs are computed as the sum of the seventeen 2,3,7,8-substituted dioxin and furan congeners, after each has been weighted by its respective TEF. Therefore,

your premise that the Total PCDD/Fs must have been calculated for the upriver sediment data to obtain the dioxin TEQs is not correct.

As Gene indicated in his previous email, the identification of chemicals for the background section of the RI was driven by the risk assessments and PRG lists available at the time. Because total PCDD/Fs did not emerge from this process, they were not calculated in the SCRA queries used to generate the upriver background flat file.

As for TBT, the aqueous results in the file Gene sent you last night were not used in the Section 7 sediment background evaluation. Only the three values reported on a dry weight basis for sediment, in units of ug/kg, were considered. (The aqueous results came through the original query and appear in the flat file because they have a matrix of SE [sediment], but a lab matrix is PW [porewater]. In case you are curious, the original source of these data are two U.S. Army Corps of Engineers dredged materials characterization studies performed in 2004 and 2005.)

Hope this helps clear things up.

Regards,

*Bill*

William W. Locke, P.E. | Principal Hydrologist and Regional Director  
Integral Consulting Inc. | [www.integral-corp.com](http://www.integral-corp.com)  
285 Century Place, Suite 190 | Louisville, CO 80027  
Office: 720.465.3315 | Cell: 303.548.1111 | Fax: 303.404.2945

**HEALTH** | **ENVIRONMENT** | **TECHNOLOGY** | **SUSTAINABILITY**

This electronic message may contain information that is confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation of litigation. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone at (303) 404-2944 ext. 15, or by electronic mail at [wlocke@integral-corp.com](mailto:wlocke@integral-corp.com).

---

**From:** Gene Revelas  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 27, 2013 9:13 AM  
**To:** Bill Locke; Jarrod Gasper  
**Subject:** FW: Background Dataset

Do either of you recall why we did not do Total TCDD/F for BG?

Gene Revelas | Senior Managing Scientist  
Integral Consulting Inc. | [www.integral-corp.com](http://www.integral-corp.com)  
1205 West Bay Drive NW | Olympia, WA 98502  
Tel: 360.705.3534, ext. 418 | Cell: 360.870.4950 | Fax: 360.705.3669

**HEALTH ENVIRONMENT TECHNOLOGY SUSTAINABILITY**

---

**From:** Koch, Kristine [<mailto:Koch.Kristine@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 27, 2013 8:09 AM  
**To:** Gene Revelas  
**Cc:** Jarrod Gasper; 'James McKenna'; 'jworonets@anchorqea.com'; Sandy Browning  
**Subject:** RE: Background Dataset

I'm not clear on this. When will we get the background data for Total PCDD/F?

Kristine Koch  
Remedial Project Manager  
USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region 10  
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, M/S ECL-115  
Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

(206)553-6705  
(206)553-0124 (fax)  
1-800-424-4372 extension 6705 (M-F, 8-4 Pacific Time, only)

---

**From:** Gene Revelas [<mailto:grevelas@integral-corp.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 26, 2013 9:34 PM  
**To:** Koch, Kristine  
**Cc:** Jarrod Gasper; 'James McKenna'; [jworonets@anchorqea.com](mailto:jworonets@anchorqea.com); Sandy Browning  
**Subject:** Background Dataset

Hi Kristine -

The 2011 RI background dataset is attached, in flat-file format. This file includes data for all of the key contaminants listed in EPA's revised Table 5.1-2, except for Total PCDD/F. Total PCDD/F has not previously been included in the background analysis. This dataset was created from three SCRA flat files dating to 2008. This combined dataset was updated in the spring of 2010 to reflect new qualifier and value information for the data from Survey WLFLH07 that had been provided by EPA. We were not able to create this dataset from the Appendix H copy of the SCRA from the 2011 RI because that dataset inadvertently excluded samples above RM 26.1 (Willamette Falls). The background dataset includes 8 samples located above the falls. We will update the SCRA accordingly as part of on-going RI revision.

Please cc. my colleague Jarrod Gasper on any questions about the attached file.

Thanks,

Gene

Gene Revelas | Senior Managing Scientist  
Integral Consulting Inc. | [www.integral-corp.com](http://www.integral-corp.com)  
1205 West Bay Drive NW | Olympia, WA 98502  
Tel: 360.705.3534, ext. 418 | Cell: 360.870.4950 | Fax: 360.705.3669

HEALTH ENVIRONMENT TECHNOLOGY SUSTAINABILITY